

Peer Review Policy

All papers submitted for presentation and publication in the Conference Proceedings are subject to a double-blind peer review process.

Each submitted paper is evaluated by two independent reviewers with relevant expertise in the subject area of the manuscript. The identities of both authors and reviewers are kept anonymous throughout the review process.

Reviewers evaluate each manuscript using a structured review form. The manuscript is assessed according to the following criteria, using a five-point scale:

- Relevance to the conference
- Contribution to academic debate
- Structure of the paper
- Theoretical background and literature review
- Appropriateness of the research/study method
- Discussion and conclusions
- Reference list, including adequacy and accuracy of citations
- Writing style

In addition to the numerical assessment, reviewers provide specific comments for the authors. They are asked to identify and elaborate on any weaknesses in the manuscript and to provide constructive and specific recommendations for improvement.

At the end of the review process, reviewers provide one of the following recommendations: accept, accept with revisions or reject.

If revisions are required, the manuscript is returned to the authors for revision. Authors submit the revised manuscript within the deadline set by the Editors, together with a response letter explaining how each comment has been addressed. The revised submission is then returned to the reviewers, who assess the extent to which their comments and recommendations have been adequately addressed and provide a recommendation on the revised manuscript.

If the two initial reviewers provide conflicting recommendations, with one recommending acceptance and the other recommending rejection, the manuscript is referred to a third independent reviewer. In such cases, the recommendation of the third reviewer is used to resolve the disagreement and serves as the basis for the final editorial decision.

The Editors of the Conference Proceedings oversee the peer review process and make the final decision regarding acceptance, revision, or rejection of each manuscript, taking into consideration the reviewers' reports and recommendations.

Reviewers are selected independently of the authors. Reviewers are expected to treat submitted manuscripts and review reports as confidential and must not disclose or use unpublished information obtained through the review process.

Only papers that have successfully completed the peer review process and received final acceptance are included in the Conference Proceedings.